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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disc in the complex plane. Given a positive finite Borel measure $\mu$ on the radius $[0,1)$, we let $\mu_{n}$ denote the $n$-th moment of $\mu$ and we deal with the action on spaces of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ of the operator of Hibert-type $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and the operator of Cesàro-type $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ which are defined as follows: If $f$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$, $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)$ is formally defined by $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)(z)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right) z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)$ is defined by $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)(z)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right) z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$. These are natural generalizations of the classical Hilbert and Cesàro operators. A good amount of work has been devoted recently to study the action of these operators on distinct spaces of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. In this paper we study the action of the operators $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ on the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ and, more generally, on the analytic Besov spaces $B^{p}(1 \leq p<\infty)$.
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## 1. Introduction

The open unit disc in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{D}$ and $\operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ will stand for the space of all analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Also, $d A$ will denote the area measure on $\mathbb{D}$, normalized so that the area of $\mathbb{D}$ is 1 . Thus $d A(z)=$ $\frac{1}{\pi} d x d y=\frac{1}{\pi} r d r d \theta$.

[^0]For $0 \leq r<1,0<p \leq \infty$, and $f$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, the integral means $M_{p}(r, f)$ of $f$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{p}(r, f) & =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{1 / p}, \quad 0<p<\infty \\
M_{\infty}(r, f) & =\max _{|z|=r}|f(z)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $0<p \leq \infty$ the Hardy space $H^{p}$ consists of those functions $f$, analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, for which

$$
\|f\|_{H^{p}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup _{0<r<1} M_{p}(r, f)<\infty
$$

We refer to [20] for the theory of Hardy spaces.
For $0<p<\infty$ and $\alpha>-1$ the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ consists of those $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left((\alpha+1) \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}|f(z)|^{p} d A(z)\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

The unweighted Bergman space $A_{0}^{p}$ is simply denoted by $A^{p}$. We refer to [21,31, 48] for the notation and results about Bergman spaces.

The space of Dirichlet type $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{p}(0<p<\infty, \alpha>-1)$ is the space of those $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $f^{\prime} \in A_{\alpha}^{p}$. Thus, a function $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{p}$ if and only if

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{p}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}|f(0)|+\left((\alpha+1) \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} d A(z)\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

In this paper we shall be mainly concerned with the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}$ which consists of those $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ whose image Riemann surface has a finite area. We recall that if $f \in \mathcal{D}, f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathcal{D})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}}=|f(0)|+\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}=\left|a_{0}\right|+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper $\mu$ will be a positive finite Borel measure on the radius $[0,1)$ and, for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, we shall let $\mu_{n}$ denote the moment of order $n$ of $\mu$, that is, $\mu_{n}=\int_{[0,1)} t^{n} d \mu(t)$. The matrices $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ are defined as follows

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu_{0} & \mu_{1} & \mu_{2} & . & . \\
\mu_{1} & \mu_{2} & \mu_{3} & . & . \\
\mu_{2} & \mu_{3} & \mu_{4} & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & .
\end{array}\right) ; \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mu_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . \\
\mu_{1} & \mu_{1} & 0 & 0 & . & . \\
\mu_{2} & \mu_{2} & \mu_{2} & 0 & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & .
\end{array}\right)
$$

As we shall see in Sects. 2 and 3, these matrices induce operators acting on spaces of analytic functions which are natural generalizations of the classical Hilbert and Cesàro operators. Recently a good amount of work has been devoted to study the action of these operators of Hilbert type and of Cesàro type on distinct subspaces of $\operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$. Carleson-type measures play a basic role in this work.

Let us recall that if $\mu$ is a positive finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$ then:

- If $s>0$, then $\mu$ is said to be an $s$-Carleson measure if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\mu([t, 1)) \leq C(1-t)^{s}, \quad 0 \leq t<1
$$

- If $0 \leq \alpha<\infty$, and $0<s<\infty$ we say that $\mu$ is an $\alpha$-logarithmic $s$-Carleson measure if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\mu([t, 1)) \leq C(1-t)^{s}\left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq t<1
$$

Let us close this section by saying that, as usual, we shall be using the convention that $C=C(p, \alpha, q, \beta, \ldots)$ will denote a positive constant which depends only upon the displayed parameters $p, \alpha, q, \beta \ldots$ (which sometimes will be omitted) but not necessarily the same at different occurrences. Furthermore, for two real-valued functions $K_{1}, K_{2}$ we write $K_{1} \lesssim K_{2}$, or $K_{1} \gtrsim K_{2}$, if there exists a positive constant $C$ independent of the arguments such that $K_{1} \leq C K_{2}$, respectively $K_{1} \geq C K_{2}$. If we have $K_{1} \lesssim K_{2}$ and $K_{1} \gtrsim K_{2}$ simultaneously, then we say that $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are equivalent and we write $K_{1} \asymp K_{2}$.

## 2. Hilbert-Type Operators

The matrix $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ induces formally an operator, which will be also called $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$, on spaces of analytic functions by its action on the Taylor coefficients:

$$
a_{n} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

To be precise, if $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right) z^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the right hand side makes sense and defines an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$.

If $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1)$ the matrix $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ reduces to the classical Hilbert matrix $\mathcal{H}=\left((n+k+1)^{-1}\right)_{n, k \geq 0}$, which induces the classical Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}$ which has extensively studied recently (see $[1,16,17,19,32-$ 34]).

The finite positive Borel measures $\mu$ for which $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator on distinct spaces of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ have been characterized in a number of papers such as $[9,14,25,27-29,35,37,38,45]$. Obtaining an integral representation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ plays a basic role in these works. If $\mu$ is as above, we shall write throughout the paper

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)(z)=\int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{1-t z} d \mu(t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the right hand side makes sense and defines an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$. It turns out that the operators $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}$ are very closely related.

Let us mention the following results.
Theorem A. Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $[0,1)$. Then
(i) The operator $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is bounded from $H^{1}$ into itself if and only if $\mu$ is a 1logarithmic 1-Carleson measure. In such a case $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}$ coincide on $H^{1}$.
(ii) If $1<p<\infty$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $H^{p}$ into itself if and only if $\mu$ is a 1-Carleson measure. In such a case $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}$ coincide on $H^{p}$.
(iii) If $p>1$ and $-1<\alpha<p-2$ then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is well defined on $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ and it is bounded from $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ into itself if and only if $\mu$ is a 1-Carleson measure. In such a case $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}$ coincide on $A_{\alpha}^{p}$.
(iv) If $p>1$ and $p-2<\alpha \leq p-1$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is well defined on $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{p}$ and it is bounded from $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{p}$ into itself if and only if $\mu$ is a 1-Carleson measure. In such a case $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}$ coincide on $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{p}$.
(v) If $0<\alpha<2, \mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{2}$ into itself if and only if $\mu$ is a 1-Carleson measure. In such a case $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}$ coincide on $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{2}$.

The questions of characterizing those $\mu$ for which $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is bounded on either the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ or on the Bergman space $A^{2}$ are more delicate and remain open. Regarding the Dirichlet space, the following results are proved in [28].

Theorem B. (i) Let $\mu$ be a positive and finite Borel measure on [0, 1). If $\gamma>1$ and $\mu$ is a $\gamma$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{D}$ into itself.
(ii) If $0<\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists a positive and finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $[0,1)$ which is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure but such that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(\mathcal{D}) \not \subset$ $\mathcal{D}$.

We improve this result showing that being a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure is enough to insure that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{D}$ into itself and closing the gap between (i) and (ii). Indeed, we shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1. (i) Let $\mu$ be a positive and finite Borel measure on [0,1). If $\mu$ is a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{D}$ into itself.
(ii) If $0<\beta<1$, then there exists a positive and finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $[0,1)$ which is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure but such that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(\mathcal{D}) \not \subset$ $\mathcal{D}$.

As a corollary of part (i) we obtain the following.
Corollary 2. (a) Let $\mu$ be a positive and finite Borel measure on $[0,1$ ) and suppose that $\mu$ is a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[0,1)}\left|t f(t) f^{\prime}(t)\right| d \mu(t) \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) There exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|t f(t) f^{\prime}(t)\right| \log \frac{2}{1-t} d t \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding the Bergman space $A^{2}$, Theorem 1.5 of [25] asserts the following.

Theorem C. Let $\mu$ be a positive and finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$ and let $h_{\mu}$ be defined by $h_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}$.) If $\mu$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[0,1)} \frac{\mu([t, 1))}{(1-t)^{2}} d \mu(t)<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is bounded from $A^{2}$ into itself if and only if the measure $\left|h_{\mu}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)$ is a Dirichlet-Carleson measure.

We recall that a finite positive Borel measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be a Dirichlet-Carleson messure if $\mathcal{D}$ is continuously embedded in $L^{2}(d \nu)$. Stegenga [43] gave a characterization of these measures involving the logarithmic capacity of a finite union of intervals of $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Shields [39] obtained a simpler characterization when dealing with measures supported on $[0,1)$. This result of Shields will be used below.

Using Theorem 1 we shall prove the following result.
Theorem 3. (i) Let $\mu$ be a positive and finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$. If $\mu$ is a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is bounded from $A^{2}$ into itself.
(ii) If $0<\beta<1$, then there exists a positive and finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $[0,1)$ which is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure but such that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}\left(A^{2}\right) \not \subset \mathcal{A}^{2}$.

In order to prove our results we start using the above mentioned result of Shields [39] to find a weak condition which insures that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}$ are well defined in $\mathcal{D}$ and that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)=\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proposition 4. Let $\mu$ be a positive and finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$. If there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu([t, 1)) \leq C\left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{-1}, \quad 0<t<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}$ are well defined in $\mathcal{D}$ and, furthermore, $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)=\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mu$ satisfies (2.6). Shields proved in [39, Theorem 2] that this is equivalent to saying that there exists a positive constant $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[0,1)}|f(t)|^{2} d \mu(t) \leq A\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can express (2.7) simply by saying that $\mu$ is a radial Carleson-Dirichlet measure. Also, it is easy to see that (2.6) implies that there exists $B>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} \leq \frac{B}{\log (n+2)}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $f \in \mathcal{D}, f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$.
Let us prove that $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)$ is well defined.
Using (2.7) and (2.8), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{[0,1)} t^{n}|f(t)| d \mu(t) & \leq\left(\int_{[0,1)} t^{2 n} d \mu(t)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{[0,1)}|f(t)|^{2} d \mu(t)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq A^{1 / 2} \mu_{2 n}^{1 / 2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\
& \leq \frac{A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}}{(\log (2 n+2))^{1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{[0,1)} t^{n}|f(t)| d \mu(t)\right)|z|^{n} \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{n}}{(\log (2 n+2))^{1 / 2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

This implies that, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the integral

$$
\int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{1-t z} d \mu(t)=\int_{[0,1)} f(t)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n} z^{n}\right) d \mu(t)
$$

converges and that

$$
\int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{1-t z} d \mu(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{[0,1)} t^{n} f(t) d \mu(t)\right) z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

So $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)$ is a well defined analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{[0,1)} t^{n} f(t) d \mu(t)\right) z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us see now that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)$ is also well defined and that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)=\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)$. Using (2.8), for all $n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right| & \lesssim \mu_{n}\left|a_{0}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{1 / 2}\left|a_{k}\right|}{k^{1 / 2} \log (n+k+2)} \\
& \lesssim \mu_{0}\left|a_{0}\right|+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(\log (k+1))^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, this implies that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a well defined analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$. Also,

$$
\int_{[0,1)} t^{n} f(t) d \mu(t)=\int_{[0,1)} t^{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} t^{k}\right) d \mu(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}
$$

for all $k$. Then (2.9) yields that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)=\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)$.
Let us turn now to prove Theorem 1
Proof of Theorem 1 (i). Suppose that $\mu$ is a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure. Take $f \in \mathcal{D}, f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}(z \in \mathbb{D})$. Proposition 4 implies that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)$ are well defined and that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)=\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)$. The above mentioned result of Shields yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)(0)\right| & =\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)(0)\right|=\left|\int_{[0,1)} f(t) d \mu(t)\right| \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{[0,1)}|f(t)|^{2} d \mu(t)\right)^{1 / 2} \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mu$ is a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n \log (n+1)}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see e.g. [28, pp. 380-381]). Using (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} & \lesssim\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)(0)\right|^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k}\left|a_{k}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|}{(n+k) \log (n+k+1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}+I+I I
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|}{(n+k) \log (n+k+1)}\right)^{2} \\
I I & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|}{(n+k) \log (n+k)}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using a result of Holland and Walsh [30, Theorem 7] and simple estimates we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|}{(n+k) \log (n+k+1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log (n+1))^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right|\right)^{2} \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, since, for every $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|}{(n+k) \log (n+k)} & \leq \frac{1}{\log (n+1)} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{1 / 2}\left|a_{k}\right|}{k^{1 / 2}(n+k)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\log (n+1)}\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(n+k)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}}{\log (n+1)}\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(n+k)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}}{n^{1 / 2} \log (n+1)}\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+k)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}}{n \log (n+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{k}\right|}{(n+k) \log (n+k)}\right)^{2} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log (n+1))^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting everything together, we obtain $\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}$.
Proof of Theorem 1 (ii). Suppose that $0<\beta<1$. Take $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$
\frac{1}{2}<\alpha<\min \left(1, \frac{3-2 \beta}{2}\right)
$$

Let $\mu$ be the Borel measure on $[0,1)$ defined by $d \mu(t)=\left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{-\beta} d t$. Then (see [28, p. 392]) $\mu$ is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure and

$$
\mu_{n} \asymp \frac{1}{n[\log (n+1)]^{\beta}}
$$

Set $a_{n}=\frac{1}{(n+1)[\log (n+1)]^{\alpha}}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$.
The condition $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$ implies that $g \in \mathcal{D}$. We are going to see that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(g) \notin \mathcal{D}$, this will finish the proof.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(g)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} & \gtrsim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right)^{2} \\
& \asymp \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{(n+k)[\log (n+k)]^{\beta} k[\log k]^{\alpha}}\right)^{2} \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^{2}[\log n]^{2 \beta}}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k[\log k]^{\alpha}}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n[\log n]^{2 \beta}}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k[\log k]^{\alpha}}\right)^{2} \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n[\log n]^{2 \beta+2 \alpha-2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $2 \alpha+2 \beta-2<1, \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n[\log n]^{2 \beta+2 \alpha-2}}=\infty$ and, hence, $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(g) \notin \mathcal{D}$ as desired.

Proof of Corollary 2. The Dirichlet space is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$
<f, g>=f(0) \overline{g(0)}+\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\prime}(z) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)} d A(z), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{D}
$$

Hence, $\mathcal{D}$ is identifiable with its dual with this pairing.
Assume that $\mu$ is a finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$ which is a 1 -logarithmic 1-Carleson measure. If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, using Theorem 1, we see that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)$ induces a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{D}$ with norm controlled by $\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)^{\prime}(z) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)} d A(z)\right| \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{D} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the definitions, Fubini's theorem, and the reproducing formula for the Bergman space $A^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)^{\prime}(z) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)} d A(z) & =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\int_{[0,1)} \frac{t f(t)}{(1-t z)^{2}} d \mu(t)\right) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)} d A(z) \\
& =\int_{[0,1)} t f(t)\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\overline{g^{\prime}(z)}}{(1-t z)^{2}} d A(z)\right) d \mu(t) \\
& =\int_{[0,1)} t f(t) \overline{g^{\prime}(t)} d \mu(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{[0,1)} t f(t) \overline{g^{\prime}(t)} d \mu(t)\right| \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{D} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $f, g \in \mathcal{D}, f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$. Set

$$
f_{1}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}, \quad g_{1}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right| z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Then $f_{1}, g_{1} \in \mathcal{D},\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}=\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}$, and $\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}=\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}}$. Using (2.13) with $f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$ in the places of $f$ and $g$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{[0,1)}\left|t f(t) \overline{g^{\prime}(t)}\right| d \mu(t) & \leq \int_{[0,1)}\left|t f_{1}(t) \overline{g_{1}^{\prime}(t)}\right| d \mu(t) \\
& \lesssim\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\
& =\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $f=g$, (2.3) follows.
Part (b) follows taking $d \mu(t)=\log \frac{2}{1-t} d t$ in part (a).
Proof of Theorem 3. Our proof of Theorem 3 is based on the fact that the pairing

$$
<f, g>=f(0) \overline{g(0)}+\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\prime}(z) \overline{\left(\frac{g(z)-g(0)}{z}\right)} d A(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}, g \in A^{2}
$$

is a "duality paring" between the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ and the Bergman space $A^{2}$. Notice that if $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$, then

$$
<f, g>=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \overline{b_{n}}
$$

It is a simple exercise to show that $<\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(P), Q>=<P, \mathcal{H}_{\mu}(Q)>$ if $P$ and $Q$ are polynomials. Then it follows that if $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}$ into itself then its adjoint (via this pairing) is $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$, and then we see that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $A^{2}$ into itself. Using this and Theorem 1 (i) we obtain part (a) of Theorem 3.

Similarly, if $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $A^{2}$ into itself, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is also a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}$ into itself and then part (b) of Theorem 3 follows using Theorem 1 (ii).

## 3. Cesàro-Type Operators

For $\mu$ a finite positive Borel measure on $[0,1)$ as above, the matrix $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ induces a linear operator, also called $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$, from $\operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ into itself as follows: If $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$, $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$,

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\mu_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right) z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Let us remark that the operator $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ has the following integral representation: If $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)(z)=\int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t z)}{1-t z} d \mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1)$, the operator $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ reduces to the classical Cesàro operator $\mathcal{C}$.

The Cesàro operator $\mathcal{C}$ acting on distinct subspaces of $\operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ has been extensively studied in a good number of articles such as $[2,10,12,15,23,36,40-$ $42,44]$. Let us recall that it is bounded on $H^{p}(0<p<\infty)$ and on $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ $(0<p<\infty, \alpha>-1)$.

The operators $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ were introduced in [23] where, among other results, it was proved that the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\mu$ is a Carleson measure, that is, $\mu([t, 1)) \leq C(1-t)(0<t<1)$.
(ii) $\mu_{n}=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$.
(iii) $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is bounded from $H^{p}$ into itself.
(iv) $1<p<\infty, \alpha>-1$, and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is bounded from $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ into itself.

Blasco [12] has generalized the definition of the operators $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ by dealing with complex Borel measures on $[0,1)$ and he has extended results of [23] to this more general setting.

A further generalization has been given in [24] by working with the operators $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ associated to arbitrary complex Borel measures on $\mathbb{D}$, not necessarily supported on a radius. The complex Borel measures on $\mathbb{D}$ for which the operator $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is bounded or Hilbert-Schmidt on $H^{2}$ or on $A_{\alpha}^{2}(\alpha>-1)$ are characterized in the mentioned paper [24].

We devote this section to study the operators $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ on the Dirichlet space, a question which has not been considered in the just mentioned papers. Our main results are contained in the following two theorems.

Theorem 5. Let $\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on $[0,1)$.
(i) If $\mu$ is a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure, then $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ into itself.
(ii) If $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}$ into itself then $\mu$ is a $1 / 2$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure.

Theorem 6. Suppose that $\frac{1}{2}<\beta<1$. Then there exists a finite positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $[0,1)$ which is $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure for which $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(\mathcal{D}) \not \subset \mathcal{D}$.

Proof of Theorem 5 (i). Since $\mu$ is a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{(n+1) \log (n+2)}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $f \in \mathcal{D}, f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$. Using (3.2) and Theorem 7 of [30], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} & \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \mu_{n}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right|\right)^{2}}{(n+1)[\log (n+2)]^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5 (ii). Suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}$ into itself. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
f_{N}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{z^{n}}{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Then,

$$
\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n} \asymp \log (N+1)
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{D}$, bearing in mind that the sequence of moments $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is decreasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log (N+1) & \asymp\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \gtrsim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mu_{n}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{2} \\
& \gtrsim \mu_{N}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} n[\log (n+1)]^{2} \asymp \mu_{N}^{2} N^{2}[\log (N+1)]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it follows that $\mu_{N}=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N[\log (N+1)]^{1 / 2}}\right)$. This implies that $\mu$ is a $1 / 2-$ logarithmic 1-Carleson measure.

Proof of Theorem 6. Assume that $1 / 2<\beta<1$. Let $\mu$ be the Borel measure on $[0,1)$ defined by $d \mu(t)=\left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{-\beta} d t$. Then, as mentioned before, $\mu$ is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure and $\mu_{n} \asymp \frac{1}{n[\log (n+1)]^{\beta}}$.

Set $\alpha=\beta-\frac{1}{2}$. Then $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$. Define

$$
g(z)=\left(\log \frac{2}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

We have that

$$
A_{n} \asymp \frac{1}{(n+1)[\log (n+2)]^{1-\alpha}}
$$

Since $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$, we have that $g \in \mathcal{D}$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(g)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} & \geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \mu_{n}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} A_{k}\right)^{2} \gtrsim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^{2}[\log n]^{2 \beta}[\log n]^{-2 \alpha}} \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n[\log n]^{2(\beta-\alpha)}}=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n[\log n]}=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Danikas and Siskakis [15] proved that $\mathcal{C}\left(H^{\infty}\right) \not \subset H^{\infty}$ and that $\mathcal{C}\left(H^{\infty}\right) \subset$ $B M O A$. This was improved by Essén and Xiao who proved in [22] that $\mathcal{C}\left(H^{\infty}\right) \subset Q^{p}$ for $0<p<\infty$. This result has been sharpened in [10].

We recall that $B M O A$ is the space of those functions $f \in H^{1}$ whose boundary values have bounded mean oscillation. Alternatively, a function $f \in$ $\operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $B M O A$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{T \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})}\|f \circ T-f(T(0))\|_{H^{2}}<\infty
$$

where $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ denotes the set of all Möbius transformations from $\mathbb{D}$ onto itself. We refer to [26] for the theory of $B M O A$-functions.

For $0<s<\infty$ the space $Q_{s}$ consists of those $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\sup _{T \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|T(z)|^{2}\right)^{s} d A(z)<\infty
$$

The spaces $Q_{s}$ were introduced in [6] and [7]. We refer to [46] for the theory of $Q_{s}$ spaces. Let us recall that

$$
\mathcal{D} \subsetneq Q_{s_{1}} \subsetneq Q_{s_{2}} \subsetneq Q_{1}=B M O A, \quad 0<s_{1}<s_{2}<1
$$

For $s>1$ the space $Q_{s}$ coincides with the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ of those functions $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}|f(0)|+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty .
$$

The paper [3] is an excellent reference for the theory of Bloch functions. Let us recall that $B M O A \subsetneq \mathcal{B}$.

Blasco [12] has proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}\left(H^{\infty}\right) \subset \bigcap_{1<p<\infty} \Lambda_{1 / p}^{p} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, for $p \geq 1, \Lambda_{1 / p}^{p}$ is the space of those functions $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ having a nontangential limit at almost every point of $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and so that $\omega_{p}(\cdot, f)$, the integral modulus of continuity of order $p$ of the boundary values $f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ of $f$, satisfies $\omega_{p}(\delta, f)=\mathrm{O}\left(\delta^{1 / p}\right)$, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Classical results of Hardy and Littlewood (see [13] and [20, Chapter 5]) show that $\Lambda_{1 / p}^{p} \subset H^{p}$ and that

$$
\Lambda_{1 / p}^{p}=\left\{f \text { analytic in } \mathbb{D}: M_{p}\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}\right), \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 1\right\}
$$

In particular, $\Lambda_{1}^{1}$ is the space of those $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $f^{\prime} \in H^{1}$. The spaces $\Lambda_{1 / p}^{p}$ increase with $p$ and they are all contained in $B M O A$ [13]. Since $\Lambda_{1 / 2}^{2} \subset Q_{s}$ for all $s>0$ (see [5, p. 427]), (3.3) improves the mentioned result in [22].

Bao, Sun and Wulan [8, Theorem 3.1] have proved that for any given $s>0, \mathcal{C}_{\mu}\left(H^{\infty}\right) \subset Q_{s}$ if and only if $\mu$ is a Carleson measure.

It is natural to look for a result like (3.3) with $\mathcal{D}$ in the place of $H^{\infty}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}) \not \subset \mathcal{B} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, set $a_{n}=\frac{1}{(n+1) \log (n+1)}(n \geq 1)$ and $f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$. Then $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and, setting $A_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}$, we have, for $0<r<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-r) \mathcal{C}(f)^{\prime}(r) & =(1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n+1} A_{n} r^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} r^{n-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(A_{n}-A_{n-1}\right) r^{n-1}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} r^{n-1}\right] \\
& \asymp \log \log \frac{2}{1-r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $C(f) \notin \mathcal{B}$.
The next natural step is trying to characterize the measures $\mu$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{B}$. We have the following result.

Theorem 7. Let $X$ be a Banach space of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\Lambda_{1 / 2}^{2} \subset$ $X \subset \mathcal{B}$ and let $\mu$ be a positive finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$.
(i) If $\mu$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure, then $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}$ into $X$.
(ii) If $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}$ into $X$ and $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$, then $\mu$ is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure.

Proof. Suppose that $\mu$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} \lesssim \frac{1}{n[\log (n+1)]^{1 / 2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $f \in \mathcal{D}, f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$. We have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right) z^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} z^{n}
$$

where $A_{n}=\mu_{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right)$. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right| & \leq\left|a_{0}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k^{1 / 2}\left|a_{k}\right|}{k^{1 / 2}} \\
& \leq\left|a_{0}\right|+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{1 / 2} \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}[\log (n+1)]^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This and (3.5) imply that $\left|A_{n}\right| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}}{n}$ a fact which easily yields that $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f) \in$ $\Lambda_{1 / 2}^{2}$. This finishes the proof of (i).

Let us turn to prove (ii). Assume that $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ and that $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}$ into $X$.

Since $X \subset \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}$ into $\mathcal{B}$.
Set $\alpha=1-\beta$, and $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(n+1)[\log (n+2)]^{\alpha}}(z \in \mathbb{D})$.
Notice that $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1$. This implies that $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and, hence, $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f) \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, bearing in mind that the sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is decreasing, we see that, for $0<r<1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{1-r} & \gtrsim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mu_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)[\log (k+2)]^{\alpha}}\right) r^{n-1} \\
& \geq \sum_{n=1}^{N} n \mu_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)[\log (k+2)]^{\alpha}}\right) r^{n} \\
& \gtrsim \mu_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} n[\log (n+2)]^{1-\alpha} r^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $r=1-\frac{1}{N}$, we obtain

$$
N \gtrsim \mu_{N} N^{2}[\log (N+2)]^{1-\alpha}=\mu_{N} N^{2}[\log (N+2)]^{\beta}
$$

and, hence, $\mu_{N} \lesssim \frac{1}{N[\log (N+2)]^{\beta}}$. This implies that $\mu$ is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1Carleson measure.

## 4. Extensions to Besov Spaces

The Dirichlet space is one among the analytic Besov spaces $B^{p}$. For $1<p<\infty$, the analytic Besov space $B^{p}$ is the space $\mathcal{D}_{p-2}^{p}$. Thus $B^{2}=\mathcal{D}$.

The minimal Besov space $B^{1}$ requires a special definition. It is the space of all $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $f^{\prime \prime} \in A^{1}$. It is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B^{1}}$ defined by $\|f\|_{B^{1}}=|f(0)|+\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{A^{1}}$.

The Besov spaces $B^{p}$ form a nested scale of conformally invariant spaces and they are all contained in $B M O A$ :

$$
B^{p} \subsetneq B^{q} \subsetneq B M O A \subsetneq \mathcal{B}, \quad 1 \leq p<q<\infty
$$

Also $B^{p} \subsetneq \Lambda_{1 / p}^{p}$ for all $p \in[1, \infty)$. We mention $[4,11,18,30,47,48]$ for information on Besov spaces. Let us remark that, letting $d \lambda$ be the Möbius invariant measure on $\mathbb{D}$ defined by $d \lambda(z)=\frac{d A(z)}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}$, we have:
(a) The Bergman projection $P$ is a continuous linear operator from $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ onto the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$,
(b) For $1<p<\infty$, the Bergman projection $P$ is a continuous linear operator from $L^{p}(d \lambda)$ onto $B^{p}$
(see [48, Chapter 5]).
Our aim in this section is trying to extend to the spaces $B^{p}$ some of the results obtained in the preceding ones for the Dirichlet space.

For the space $B^{1}$ we have the following result.
Theorem 8. Let $\mu$ be positive finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t}<\infty$.
(ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n}<\infty$.
(iii) The operator $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $B^{1}$ into itself.
(iv) The operator $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ is a bounded operator from $B^{1}$ into itself.

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) is clear.
Suppose that (iii) holds. Let $f$ be the constant function $f(z)=1$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)=\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f) \in B^{1} \subset H^{\infty}$ and then

$$
\int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)(r) \leq\left\|\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(f)\right\|_{H^{\infty}}<\infty
$$

Thus (i) holds.
Conversely, suppose that (i) holds. Take $f \in B^{1}$. We have

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)^{\prime \prime}(z)=\int_{[0,1)} \frac{2 t^{2} f(t)}{(1-t z)^{3}} d \mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Then using Fubini's theorem, [48, Lemma 3.10], and the fact that $B^{1} \subset H^{\infty}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| d A(z) & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{|f(t)|}{|1-t z|^{3}} d \mu(t) d A(z) \\
& =\int_{[0,1)}|f(t)| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{d A(z)}{|1-t z|^{3}} d \mu(t) \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{H^{\infty}} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{B^{1}} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (iii) follows.
Let us prove next the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv).
Suppose (i). Take $f \in B^{1}$. Bearing in mind (3.1) and using Fubini's theorem, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| d A(z) \\
& \lesssim \int_{[0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(t z)\right| d A(z)}{|1-t z|} d \mu(t)+\int_{[0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t z)\right| d A(z)}{|1-t z|^{2}} d \mu(t) \\
&+\int_{[0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(t z)| d A(z)}{|1-t z|^{3}} d \mu(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now estimate each of the three terms in the last formula separately. For the first one we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{[0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(t z)\right|}{|1-t z|} d A(z) d \mu(t) & \leq \int_{[0,1)} \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(t z)\right| d A(z) d \mu(t) \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{B^{1}} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second one, we use the fact that $B^{1} \subset \Lambda_{1}^{1}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{[0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t z)\right|}{|1-t z|^{2}} d A(z) d \mu(t) & \lesssim \int_{[0,1)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{M_{1}\left(t r, f^{\prime}\right)}{(1-t r)^{2}} d r d \mu(t) \\
& \leq\|f\|_{\Lambda_{1}^{1}} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t} \lesssim\|f\|_{B^{1}} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the last integral, we use that $B^{1} \subset H^{\infty}$ and Lemma 3.10 of [48] to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{[0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(t z)|}{|1-t z|^{3}} d A(z) d \mu(t) & \leq\|f\|_{H^{\infty}} \int_{[0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{d A(z)}{|1-t z|^{3}} d \mu(t) \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{B^{1}} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting everything together we obtain (iv).
Suppose now that (iv) holds. Let $f$ be the constant function given by $f(z)=1$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f) \in B^{1} \subset H^{\infty}$. Using the integral representation of $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ we see that

$$
\int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)(r) \leq\left\|\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(f)\right\|_{H^{\infty}}
$$

Thus, $\int_{[0,1)} \frac{d \mu(t)}{1-t}<\infty$. This is (i).
Let us turn now to deal with the possible extensions in the range $1<$ $p<\infty$. The following result comes from [28, Theorem 2.4] and [23, Theorem 7].

Theorem D. Let $\mu$ be a positive finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$. If $\mu$ is a 1logarithmic 1-Carleson measure then the operators $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ are bounded from the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ into itself.

Using this result and those obtained in Sects. 2 and 3 we will prove the following.

Theorem 9. Suppose that $2<p<\infty$ and let $\mu$ be a positive finite Borel measure on $[0,1)$. If $\mu$ is a 1-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure then the operators $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$ are bounded from the Besov space $B^{p}$ into itself.

Proof. We shall use complex interpolation in the proof. Let us refer to [48, Chapter 2] for the terminology and basic results concerning complex interpolation.

If $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ are two compatible Banach spaces then, for $0<\theta<1$, $\left[X_{0}, X_{1}\right]_{\theta}$ stands for the space obtained by the complex method of interpolation of Calderón. As a consequence of the above mentioned results characterizing the spaces $B^{p}$ as the image of $L^{p}(d \lambda)$ under the Bergman projection and the Bloch space as the image of $L^{\infty}(d \lambda)$ under the Bergman projection, Zhu proves in [48, Theorem 5.25] that if $1<p_{0}<\infty, 0<\theta<1$, and $1 / p=(1-\theta) / p_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[B^{p_{0}}, \mathcal{B}\right]_{\theta}=B^{p} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{p}=[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}]_{\theta}, \quad \text { if } 2<p<\infty \text { and } \theta=1-\frac{2}{p} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 9 follows using (4.2), Theorem 1 (i), Theorem 5 (i), and the interpolation theorem of operators [48, Theorem 2.4].

Regarding the sharpness of Theorem 9, we have the following result.
Theorem 10. Suppose that $0<\beta<1$.
(i) If $1<p<\infty$ then there exists a positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $[0,1)$ which is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure with the property that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}\left(B^{p}\right) \not \subset$ $B^{p}$.
(ii) If $1<p \leq 2$ then there exists a positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $[0,1)$ which is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure with the property that $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}\left(B^{p}\right) \not \subset B^{p}$.

Proof. Assume that $1<p<\infty$ and $0<\beta<1$. Take $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$
\frac{1}{p}<\alpha<\min \left(1,1+\frac{1}{p}-\beta\right)
$$

Let $\mu$ be the Borel measure on $[0,1)$ defined by $d \mu(t)=\left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{-\beta} d t$. We know that $\mu$ is a $\beta$-logarithmic 1-Carleson measure and that $\mu_{n} \asymp$ $\frac{1}{(n+1)[\log (n+2)]^{\beta}}$.

For $n \geq 1$, set $a_{n}=\frac{1}{n[\log (n+1)]^{\alpha}}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$.
Since the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is decreasing and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1}\left|a_{n}\right|^{p}<\infty$, using [28, Theorem 3.10] we see that $g \in B^{p}$.

We have that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right) z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{D})$. Since the $a_{k}$ 's are positive and the sequence of moments $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is decreasing, it follows that the sequence $\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right\}$ is also decreasing. Then using again [28, Theorem 3.10] we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mu}(g) \in B^{p} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right)^{p}<\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right)^{p} & \gtrsim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{p-1}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+k)[\log (n+k)]^{\beta} k(\log k)^{\alpha}}\right)^{p} \\
& \geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{p-1}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{(n+k)[\log (n+k)]^{\beta} k(\log k)^{\alpha}}\right)^{p} \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n^{p-1}}{n^{p}(\log n)^{p \beta}}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k(\log k)^{\alpha}}\right)^{p} \\
& \asymp \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{p \beta}(\log n)^{p(\alpha-1)}} \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{p(\beta+\alpha-1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p(\beta+\alpha-1)<1$, it follows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n+k} a_{k}\right)^{p}=\infty$ and then (4.3) gives that $H_{\mu}(g) \notin B^{p}$.

Assume now that $1<p \leq 2$. We have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right) z^{n} .
$$

Using the fact that $1<p \leq 2$ and [20, Theorem 6.s2] it readily follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(g) \in B^{p} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} \mu_{n}^{p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)^{p}<\infty \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} \mu_{n}^{p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)^{p} & \gtrsim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n[\log (n+1)]^{\beta p}}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k(\log k)^{\alpha}}\right)^{p} \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n[\log (n+1)]^{p(\beta+\alpha-1)}} \\
& =\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.4) we obtain that $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}(g) \notin B^{p}$.
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